

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,328 11/01/2001		11/01/2001	Shahram Malek	11738.84217 3345		
22908	7590	06/10/2003				
		OFF, LTD.	EXAMINER			
TEN SOUT SUITE 3000	· · · -	ER DRIVE	GETZOW, SCOTT M			
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	6		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				3762		
				DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	•	10/002,328	MALEK ET AL.) _N ()					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Scott M. Getzow	3762						
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>							
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.									
(.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-21</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	ation No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment	t(s)								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-19						
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 3						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/002,328

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,3-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulman et al '474.

Schulman is considered to render obvious all of the subject matter of the above claims. Schulman teaches an external neural stimulator 138, and a programmer 108, (see column 9, line 29 to column 10 line 13). The ability of the stimulator to communicate with the programmer is considered obvious since the programmer is capable of performing diagnostics tests which would need to be verified by the stimulator; as is taught in the patent, the stimulator can be used for programming. Further, the implantable lead called for in the claims is considered to be obvious over the electrode array 114 of Schulman, since both enable electrical stimulation of neural tissue via electrodes. Still further, regarding claims 13,16 and 17, the 'whereby' clauses of those claims do not further limit the structure or methodology of the body of the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 22 is allowed.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/002,328

Art Unit: 3762

4. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (703) 308-

2997. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302

for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0858.

Scott M. Getzow ,

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3762

smg

June 6, 2003